

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (BROOKLYN)

-----: :  
: :  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Case No.: 23-cr-0082  
Plaintiff, : Brooklyn, New York  
: August 2, 2023  
v. : 10:44 a.m. - 10:58 a.m.  
: :  
CARLOS WATSON, :  
Defendant. :  
-----:

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC R. KOMITEE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Government: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
BY: Jonathan Siegel, AUSA  
Gillian Kassner, AUSA  
Dylan Stern, AUSA  
271-A Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn New York 11201

For Defendant: RONALD SULLIVAN LAW PLLC  
BY: Ronald S. Sullivan, Esq.  
1300 I Street NW  
Suite 400E  
Washington, DC 20005

For Defendant: KENNETH J. MONTGOMERY, ESQ.  
Ozy Media, Inc. 198 Rogers Avenue  
Brooklyn, New York 11225

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
Transcript produced by transcription service

1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Criminal cause for a  
2 status conference in The United States of America  
3 versus Carlos Watson, et al.; Docket Number  
4 23-cr-0082.

5 Would you all please state your appearances  
6 for the record, starting with the government.

7 MR. SIEGEL: Good morning, Your Honor.  
8 Jonathan Siegel, Gillian Kassner and Dylan Stern for  
9 the United States.

10 THE COURT: Good morning.

11 MR. SULLIVAN: Good morning, Your Honor.  
12 Ronald Sullivan here with Duncan Levin, Kyle Skinner  
13 and Luke Brumboken on behalf of Mr. Watson.

14 THE COURT: Good morning.

15 MR. MONTGOMERY: Good morning, Your Honor.  
16 Kenneth Montgomery for Ozy Media.

17 THE COURT: Good morning. All right. We  
18 are here, as you all know, for a status conference  
19 this morning. I have the government's letter of  
20 yesterday telling me that substantially all Rule 16  
21 discovery currently in its possession has been  
22 produced, the largest exception being the data from  
23 devices seized from the defendant at the time of his  
24 arrest. And the government says they're engaged in  
25 some communications, potentially with an eye towards

1 allowing for a privilege log to be produced, but  
2 that they want that process resolved by August 25th.

3 Anything else to say on that subject or to  
4 accomplish here?

5 MR. SIEGEL: No, Your Honor.

6 MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Okay. You expect that whatever  
8 privilege logs need to be produced in order to  
9 resolve those issues fully will be produced by  
10 August 25th?

11 MR. SULLIVAN: As far as I know now, yes.

12 THE COURT: Can you say more about what "as  
13 far as I know" means in that context?

14 MR. SULLIVAN: If a huge amount of  
15 information emerges that I'm unaware of, that I  
16 can't control -- everything within my control, yes.

17 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, just to maybe  
18 delve one level deeper on that subject, the  
19 government says -- this letter is not filed under  
20 seal, right? It's not. I understand.

21 The government says that they sent you the  
22 contacts list for Mr. Watson's phones and asked you  
23 to identify any contacts with whom there may have  
24 been privileged communications. I take that to mean  
25 mostly tell us whether any of these people are

1 lawyers. Has that response gone out yet?

2 MR. SULLIVAN: No, not yet.

3 THE COURT: Is there -- when do you expect  
4 that response to go out?

5 MR. SULLIVAN: Soon, Your Honor. We're  
6 actively working on it. I don't want to give a  
7 specific date, but we're actively working on it.

8 THE COURT: Okay. My interest is in simply  
9 reminding the parties that we have a trial date in  
10 the first half of next year, and that at the moment,  
11 I have every -- well, at the moment and for every  
12 enduring moment, I expect that that trial date will  
13 hold.

14 MR. SULLIVAN: We are absolutely ready to  
15 go, and eager to try it on those dates.

16 THE COURT: Good to hear. Okay.

17 MR. SIEGEL: Your Honor, on the phone  
18 contacts, I mean, we had sent it to the defense  
19 earlier in July and asked them to get it back to us  
20 by the 25th because we're moving forward with the  
21 review. So this is the first I've heard that  
22 they're still working on it. I had assumed they  
23 were just not identifying anybody when they never  
24 got back to us. But at a certain point, if they  
25 don't get back to us, as Your Honor said, the trial

1 is moving forward, and we're going to move forward  
2 with the review.

3 THE COURT: I mean, one way, I think to  
4 resolve questions like that, is for people to pick  
5 up the phone and call each other and not simply  
6 assume that another party's silence is a definitive  
7 indication one way or the other of their intentions.  
8 I understand that you shouldn't have to chase the  
9 other side, but that being said, some dialogue  
10 between the parties on these logistical issues, I  
11 think, might go a long way.

12 MR. SULLIVAN: No objection to picking up  
13 the telephone from this side.

14 THE COURT: Yeah. One of the things I'm  
15 trying to teach my law clerk is about the value of  
16 actual phone contact in lieu of e-mails. Some  
17 generational aspect there, perhaps. Okay.

18 So that's, I think, everything in terms of  
19 the moving parts on discovery. Anything else to say  
20 or know about the progress of discovery?

21 MR. SIEGEL: Everything we put forth in our  
22 letter.

23 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

24 Turning next on my agenda, and then when I  
25 conclude my agenda, I will, of course, turn it over

1 to the parties. Pretrial motions. So we have  
2 pending a motion to suppress the fruits of the  
3 search of Mr. Watson's devices. We are working on  
4 that in chambers and expect to get an answer out  
5 fairly imminently. There is Mr. Watson's motion to  
6 dismiss the indictment, which was filed just this  
7 week. Makes sense, I think, to set a briefing  
8 schedule for the government's response to that  
9 motion. What's a reasonable time frame in that  
10 respect?

11 MR. SIEGEL: Three weeks, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Three weeks from today is fine.  
13 And then two weeks from that date for a reply brief,  
14 if any.

15 The case manager can, perhaps, tell us what  
16 those dates actually are.

17 Government's response, August 23rd.  
18 Defense reply, if any, September 6th.

19 Do we think that this week's motion to  
20 dismiss the indictment concludes pretrial motion  
21 practice; or that there may be other motions  
22 forthcoming?

23 MR. SULLIVAN: There may be other motions  
24 forthcoming. If the Court wants to set a motions  
25 deadline, I think that would be advisable.

1           THE COURT: Yeah, I know that -- so, first  
2 of all, there's been a bit of a moving target with  
3 the substitution of counsel. I understand that. I  
4 know there's been some conversation back and forth  
5 between the parties about a Bill of Particulars. I  
6 don't know if you've resolved that.

7           MR. SULLIVAN: That's resolved. The  
8 government's response is sufficient for us.

9           THE COURT: Good to know. Okay. So any  
10 other defense motions, And I don't mean motions in  
11 limine, but any other real pretrial motions should  
12 be filed on or before Monday, the 28th of August.  
13 And the government's response, if there are any such  
14 motions, should be filed on or before Monday,  
15 September 18th.

16           MR. SIEGEL: That's fine, Your Honor. The  
17 only question is whether it might make sense to just  
18 consolidate those two motion schedules we've just  
19 set, so that our opposition to the motion to dismiss  
20 can be on the same schedule as our opposition to  
21 their forthcoming motions, so that we're not  
22 continually filing motions, filing briefs.

23           THE COURT: And in that respect, you're  
24 saying we would push back the government's response  
25 date on the motion to dismiss the indictment.

1           MR. SIEGEL: To whatever date to respond to  
2 whatever other motions they have. So we just have  
3 one briefing schedule.

4           THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine with me.  
5 Okay. So I think I just said that your response to  
6 the new motions, if any, would be due September  
7 18th, I think I said.

8           MR. SIEGEL: Yes, that's right.

9           THE COURT: September 18th. We'll  
10 consolidate your response to the motion to dismiss  
11 the indictment with that schedule. So that will be  
12 the due date. And then defense reply briefs, if  
13 any, for all of those motions, any and all of those  
14 motions by October 2nd; Monday, October 2nd.

15          MR. SULLIVAN: Very well.

16          THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sullivan, from your  
17 perspective, does the prior letter motion practice  
18 regarding the U.S. Attorney's Office's press release  
19 remain an open item?

20          MR. SULLIVAN: It does, Your Honor.

21          THE COURT: Okay. I will respond on that  
22 in the near future, as well as I will on Mr.  
23 Watson's motion to suppress. One thing to note in  
24 respect of the motion to suppress is that we haven't  
25 had any sort of affidavit from Mr. Watson himself.



1 And in the Second Circuit, as I'm sure you know,  
2 that is integrally related to the question of  
3 whether a hearing is warranted.

4 Do you have any desire, intention to submit  
5 such an affidavit?

6 MR. SULLIVAN: We can do that, Your Honor,  
7 yes --

8 THE COURT: Let's say that that --

9 MR. SULLIVAN: -- if it will aid the Court.  
10 Well, we can do that quickly.

11 THE COURT: Yeah. Let's say that that  
12 submission shall occur by the close of business a  
13 week from today --

14 MR. SULLIVAN: Very well.

15 THE COURT: -- or before. I think that  
16 concludes my agenda.

17 Anything else from the government? I mean,  
18 obviously, we'll set a new status conference date  
19 probably for -- if we are in the very beginning of  
20 August, and if this motion's schedule is going to  
21 conclude in early October, I would think something  
22 in the first or second week of October. But I'm  
23 open to suggestions from the parties on that as  
24 well.

25 MR. SULLIVAN: I have a trial October 6.

1 Another trial that starts on a Friday.

2 THE COURT: Is your trial in this building,  
3 by any chance?

4 MR. SULLIVAN: No, it's in DC,  
5 unfortunately. So I would say, if it pleases the  
6 Court, the week of the 16th.

7 THE COURT: That's fine with me. October  
8 16th, that week?

9 MR. SIEGEL: It's fine by the government.

10 MR. MONTGOMERY: Works for me, Your Honor.

11 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Monday, October 16th at  
12 10:00 a.m.

13 THE COURT: Anything else on the defense  
14 agenda today?

15 MR. SULLIVAN: Brief indulgence.

16 Mr. Levin is reminding me I'm overly  
17 optimistic of finishing the trial by the 16th. And  
18 I think it's Columbus weekend. He's telling me  
19 pretrial conference is the 6th. So can we look at  
20 the 23rd, that week?

21 THE DEPUTY CLERK: October 26th at 2:30.

22 MR. SIEGEL: It's fine by the government.

23 THE COURT: October 26th at 2:30. That  
24 shall be the date and time.

25 MR. SULLIVAN: Very well.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Montgomery, anything  
2 else from you?

3 MR. MONTGOMERY: The only thing in my  
4 schedule that day is Judge Dearie's memorial  
5 acknowledgment.

6 THE COURT: Let's not jump the gun.

7 MR. MONTGOMERY: Please don't repeat that.  
8 Kidding.

9 MR. SULLIVAN: It's on the record,  
10 unfortunately.

11 THE COURT: The record shall be sealed as  
12 to that. I'm just kidding. I will, obviously, want  
13 to be there as well. 2:30, you said the ceremony  
14 starts at 4:00?

15 MR. MONTGOMERY: Yes.

16 THE COURT: I think we'll be done. We'll  
17 even have you, I think, to your video conference  
18 before 11:00.

19 MR. MONTGOMERY: Yes, thank you, Judge; I  
20 appreciate it.

21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you,  
22 everyone. We will reconvene in late October. Stay  
23 safe in the meantime.

24 MR. SIEGEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Be well.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Adrienne M. Mignano, certify that the  
foregoing transcript of proceedings in the case of  
USA v. Carlos Watson; Docket #23CR0082 was  
prepared using digital transcription software and is  
a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Signature Adrienne M. Mignano  
ADRIENNE M. MIGNANO, RPR

Date: August 23, 2023